

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,463	09/17/2003	Vidyadhar Babu Hegde	61,101B	4354
DOW AGROSCIENCES LLC 9330 ZIONSVILLE RD INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46268			EXAMINER	
			SHIAO, R	EI TSANG
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1626	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,463	HEGDE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Shiao	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	n reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. NNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	responses filed on 02/22, 200	<u>05</u> .
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	lowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims	•	
	-4i	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applic		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	nurawn nom consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or clostion requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		o by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this inational Stage
application from the International E		at reachined
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies h	ot received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	· —	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	,··/	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/664,463

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application claims benefit of the application: 10/244,124 with a filing date September 13, 2002.
- 2. Amendment of claims 1, 8, and 15, a filed terminal disclaimer, and an affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 in the amendment filed on February 22, 2005, is acknowledged. Claims 1-21 are pending in the application.

Responses to Amendment

- 3. Since limitation of "and other sucking pests" has been incorporated into claim 15, therefore, rejection of claim 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, has been overcome in the amendment filed on February 22, 2005.
- 4. Since the terminal disclaimer against Hegde et al. US 6,770,665 has been filed and approved, therefore, rejection of claims 1-21 under the obviousness-type double patenting has been overcome in the amendment filed on February 22, 2005.

Responses to Arguments/Affidavit

- 5. Since the variables R¹ and R² of the formula of claim 1 are not both hydrogen, therefore, rejection of claims 1-21 over Pechacek et al. 6,015,826 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b), is withdrawn herein.
- 6. Applicant's arguments/affidavit regarding rejection of claims 1-21 under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) over Pechacek et al. 6,015,826, filed on February 22, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Pechacek et al. disclose a number of 1, 2,

Application/Control Number: 10/664,463

Art Unit: 1626

4 - triazole compounds as agents of controlling insects and mites, see columns 1, and 47-49, compounds No. 120, 121, 125, 126, and 131. The difference between the instant claims and Pechacek et al. is that the instant compounds are isomers of Pechacek et al. It is noted that the instant phenyl moiety is linked to the second position of the thiophene ring, while Pechacek et al. is linked to the third position of the same thiophene ring. The side-by-side comparisons treating insects or mites between Pechacek et al. compound No. 29 and instant compounds No. A, B, C, or D in the affidavit is not directed to a comparison between instant compounds and Pechacek et al. isomers. Therefore, the side-by-side comparisons treating insects or mites of the instant affidavit is not persuasive, rejection of claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained.

Nothing unobvious is seen in substituting the known claimed isomer of Pechacek et al. for the structurally similar isomer, since such structurally related compounds suggest one another and would be expected to share common properties (i.e., controlling mites or insects lepidoptera activity) absent a showing of unexpected results, see In re Norris, 84 USPQ 458 (1950).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 7. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS-from-the-mailing-date-of-this-action.—In-the-event-a-first-reply-is-filed-within-TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/664,463

Art Unit: 1626

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Shiao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0707. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

TAOFIQ SOLOLA

Joseph K. McKane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

Robert Shiao, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626

May 3, 2005